

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Α	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/811,910	03/30/2004	Yutaka Takami	566.35562CX2	3171	
	20457	7590 12/30/2004	EXAMINER			
		NTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			HESS, DANIEL A	
	SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ARLINGTON	, VA 22209-9889		2876		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/811,910	TAKAMI ET AL.	TAKAMI ET AL.			
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel A Hess	2876	(SW)			
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED S THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply If NO period for reply Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 Clos from the mailing date of this communication specified above is less than thirty (30) days, is specified above, the maximum statutory put the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the lijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on	28 October 2004.					
2a) This action	is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this a							
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claim	ns						
4a) Of the a 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41</u>	7-46 is/are pending in the application bove claim(s) is/are with 6-30 is/are allowed. 1-40 and 43-46 is/are rejected. 1-40 and 42 is/are objected to. 1-40 are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers			•				
9) The specific	ation is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing	g(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
	y not request that any objection to		• •				
	t drawing sheet(s) including the co	·		• •			
	declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119						
a) All b) 1. Certii 2. Certii 3. Copie applie	ment is made of a claim for for Some * c) None of: Fied copies of the priority documes of the copies of the priority documes of the certified copies of the cation from the International Buthed detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Reference			Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sl te	, <u>–</u>	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/811,910

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

This is in response to the Applicant's reply of 10/28/2004.

The double-patenting rejection that had been made is withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer that has been filed. Other rejections are maintained for reasons discussed in the 'Response to Arguments' section below. Please see that section for a detailed opinion by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 31-40 and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagata et al. (US 4,959,788). Nagata teaches the elements and means recited in claims 31-40 and 44-46. For example, Nagata teaches the following:

Re claim 31: A card is shown in figure 2. An input/output port is shown in figure 2, ref. 1, which acts as a transmission unit and a reading/writing unit, in conjunction with RAM and ROM on the card. An operation control unit is present, namely a CPU (ref. 2 in figure 1) connected RAM, ROM and input/output. See figures 3 and 6: money information is stored in the card in RAM. Study the entire document, but especially

Application/Control Number: 10/811,910

Art Unit: 2876

column 2, lines 42-46; column 2, lines 52-59; column 7, lines 18-29. As described in column 7, lines 18-29, a user can specify on the card, using the keypad, a "payment amount" (line 25). This payment amount will later be transferred in a single transaction (i.e. in a lump sum) at a later transaction time. The lump sum instruction module and transmission unit in both cases is simply the CPU. Further operation and entry of data again by the user is unnecessary (column 3, lines 10-16) because data has been preentered and does not have to be entered at the time of transaction.

Re claim 32: A lump sum drawing instruction module and detection unit are both the CPU of the card. The difference between this claim and claim 31 is that here the user pre-enters in to the IC card an amount to withdraw in one transaction, for example from an ATM. This is described in detail in column 7, lines 38-56, as well as throughout the document.

Re claim 33: See discussion re claim 31 above, and note that a 'YES' button (column 15, lines 1-10) is a submit button that essentially confirms lump sum operations (see also figures 19a-19c). Note that although the transaction does not happen immediately but later, nothing in the claim language indicates that the transaction takes place immediately on 'confirming' it.

Re claim 34: See discussion of claim 32 and also of claim 33, regarding 'button.' Re claims 35/36: See figure 2: ref. 13 is a display.

Re claims 37/38: See figure 2: The keypad 12 allows the user to enter an amount. See also column 7, lines 23-25, 31-33, 46-48 where amount data is entered for different types of transactions.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,910

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 39: See figures 17/18 and column 5, lines 37-43: An ATM is explicitly mentioned.

Re claim 40: See claim 39 discussion, above, and also column 12, line 55.

Savings balance is stored on the card. Where else would it come from but the banking machine. Many figures show the card interacting with the ATM machine.

Re claim 43: See discussion re claims 31/33.

Re claim 44: The 'YES' button is employed for the purpose of confirmation of various transactions, a.k.a. lump sum operations.

Re claim 45: See discussion re claims 31 and 33 above.

Re claim 46: See discussion re claim 44 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-30 are allowed, being similar to various corresponding claims in 6,382,505, a terminal disclaimer having been filed.

Claims 41 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art known to the examiner fails to teach or fairly suggest a card which both pre-entering withdrawal data directly on the card prior to the transactions as per all of the limitations of claim 34 in addition to displaying an on the card amount that has been transferred to the card after the transaction has completed as per all of the limitations of claim 41.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/28/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued, on pages 17 and 18 of recent arguments, that a particular aspect is lacking in the art cited by the examiner. "As one example, as described in Applicant's figure 6 and on specification page 18, information on a value of electronic money which can be obtained from an external device (e.g. cash register)..."

The examiner observes that these aspects are not in the language of the claim.

In general, Examiner notes that various attributes which limit the scope of the invention should be directly written into the claims as limitations. Although the claims are to be read in light of the specification, the specification should not be considered a substitute for the claims; nor should limitations be "read into" the claims from the specification. The claims are to be given their broadest reasonable interpretation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2876

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A Hess whose telephone number is (571) 272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DH